

From: FRANK SCULLY
2071 Grace Avenue
Hollywood 28
California.

Outline
Of

THIS SIDE OF SAUCERS

By FRANK SCULLY

Chapter		Words
1	Documentary type of opening, showing by examples from Life, N.Y. Times, and Air Force reports, how conservative opinion has moved in five years from ridicule in the reality of flying saucers to an acceptance by 1952 of the presence of hundreds of these unidentified objects.	3000
2	Digest of the main contentions of Behind The Flying Saucers to see how well or ill they have stood up under fire.	4000
3	What have unfriendly critics done to knock down the positions taken in Behind The Flying Saucers? a) TV jousts with Wheeler and Air Force advisors. b) Gelatt and The Saturday Review of Literature. c) Considine and the Hearst Press. d) Cahn and True Magazine.	5000
4	What friendly critics have done to strengthen belief in flying saucers. a) Heard b) Arnold c) Keyhoe d) Adamski	5000
5	What remains unattacked or undisturbed in the controversy? Chiefly the theory of Magnetic Propulsion. What is new about the theory since first advanced? How does this compare with what was known 400 years ago? Fifty years ago?	5000

2 Outline of Scully's THIS SIDE OF SAUCERS

- 6 Have any personal testimony of those claiming to have seen grounded saucers and live crews any value?
- a) MacDonough
 - b) Steep Rock Miners
 - c) Mayor of German town 5000
- 7 What effect have opposing explanations as to their unreality by distinguished specialists and scholars had on Scully's explanations as to their reality?
- a) Liddel
 - b) Menzel
 - c) Shapely 6000
- 8 Why are rockets accepted and flying saucers not? First, because rockets were conceived on this earth, whereas the generally accepted view is, if they exist at all, flying saucers originate elsewhere. Second, the rocket is an effective military weapon. The V2 all but knocked out the British in World War II. We now have most of the Germans who worked on them in our hire and they are selling Trips To The Moon as romantic decoys for the further military development and effectiveness of rockets, which to the proponents of magnetic propulsion is like selling buggy whips to the automotive industry.
- a) Observe Collier's splurge on "Man Will Conquer Space Soon" by Van Braun, Ley, Klep, Haber, Schacter, Kaplan, et al, in March 22, 1952 issue.
 - b) Observe the frequent "scientific" pitches in other magazines, newspapers and books.
 - c) Reduce to its proper proportions this psychic putsch for rockets to the moon, as opposed to flying saucers from elsewhere. 10,000
- 9 What are other views on flying saucers? Their reality? Their threat? Their friendliness?
- a) Theologians
 - b) Astronomers
 - c) Mathematicians
 - d) Industrial scientists
 - e) Non-professionals 5,000

10

Conclusions

	2,000
Total	54,000
Appendix. Post-Fortean File of Sightings since 1950	20,000
Grand Total	74,000

FROM: FRANK SCULLY
2071 Grace Ave
Hollywood 28
California

Outline

Of

THIS SIDE OF SAUCERS

BY FRANK SCULLY

Chapter

1

Documentary
~~Narrative~~ type of opening, *showing by examples from* Life, N Y Times *and* Air Force reports *showing* how conservative opinion has moved in two years from ridicule in the reality of flying saucers to an acceptance *by 1952* of the presence of *hundreds* of these unidentified objects. 3000

2

Digest of the main contentions of Behind The Flying Saucers to see how well or ill they have stood up under fire.

4000

3

What have unfriendly critics *done* ~~done~~ to knock down the positions taken in Behind The Flying Saucers?

- a) TV jousts with Wheeler and Air Force advisors.
- b) Gelatt and The Saturday Review of Literature
- c) Considine and the Hearst Press
- d) Cahn and True Magazine

5
~~5~~,000

4

What friendly critics have done to *strengthen* ~~support~~ *belief* the premises of Behind The Flying Saucers *in flying saucers*

- a) Heard
- b) Arnold
- c) Keyhoe
- d) *Adamski*

5,000

5

What remains unattacked or undisturbed in the controversy? Chiefly the theory of Magnetic Propulsion. What is new about the theory since first advanced? How does this compare with what was known 400 years ago? Fifty years ago?

5000

6

What effect have statements of distinguished scholars have on the theory of flying saucers?

2 Outline of Scully's THIS SIDE OF SAUCERS

47

What effect have ^{opposing} explanations ^{as to their unreality by} distinguished specialists and scholars had on Scully's explanations ^{as to their reality} of flying saucers?

- a) Liddel
- b) Menzel
- c) ~~unhappy~~ Shapely

6000

Why are rockets accepted and flying saucers not? First, because rockets were conceived on this earth, whereas the generally accepted view is, if they exist at all, flying saucers originate elsewhere. Second, the rocket is an effective military weapon. The V2 all but knocked out the British in World War II. We now have most of the Germans who worked on them in our hire and they are selling trips to the Moon as romantic decoys for the further military development and effectiveness of rockets, which to the proponents of magnetic propulsion is like selling buggy whips to the automotive industry.

- a) Observe Collier's splurge on "Man Will Conquer Space Soon" by Van Braun, Ley, Klep, Haber, Schacter, Kaplan, et al in March 22 1952 issue.
- b) ~~Reduce~~ ^{Reduce} frequent "scientific" pitches of other in other magazines, newspapers and books.
- c) ~~Reduce~~ ^{Reduce} to its proper proportions this psychic putsch for rockets to the moon, ^{as opposed to} 10,000 flying saucers from elsewhere

What are other views on flying saucers? Their reality? Their threat? Their friendliness?

- a) Theologians
- b) Astronomers
- c) Mathematicians
- d) Industrial scientists
- e) Non-professionals

5000

Conclusions

Total

2000

Appendix. Post-Fortean File of Sightings since 1950.

54,000

20,000

Grand Total

74,000

I have any personal testimony of those claiming to have seen grounded saucers and live crews any value?

- a) Mc Donough
- b) steep Rock Miners
- c) Mayor of Germany. 5000

10

20